

DRAFT: Analysis Work Performed

Segmentation and Alternative Potential Explanatory Variables (Controls) Considered

I. Honda

a. Segmentation

None: Analysis of differences based on protected class status using a single all inclusive sample consisting of data on non-subservent loans provided by the lender.

b. Alternative Potential Explanatory Variables Considered (controls)

None.

II. [REDACTED]

a. Segmentation:

Analysis of differences based on protected class status using:

- i. A single all inclusive sample consisting of data on non-subservent loans provided by the lender.
- ii. And, (i.) with removal of Loans with Rate Concessions.
- iii. And, (i.) in separate analyses of overall sample of loan data based on having received a Rate Concession.

b. Alternative Potential Explanatory Variables Considered (controls)

None.

III. [REDACTED]

a. Segmentation

None: Analysis of differences based on protected class status using a single all inclusive sample consisting of data on non-subservent loans provided by the lender.

b. Alternative Potential Explanatory Variables considered (controls)

- i. Branch
- ii. Pre/Post March [REDACTED]

IV. [REDACTED]

a. Segmentation

Analysis of differences based on protected class status using:

- i. A single all inclusive sample consisting of data on non-subservent loans with Dealer Participation provided by the lender.
- ii. And, (i.) with removal of Loans having negative markup amounts.

b. Alternative Potential Explanatory Variables Considered (controls)

In separate analysis of Suggested Buy Rate instead of markup,

- i. Credit/Bankruptcy scores including:
  - 1. Bureau/FICO scores
  - 2. [REDACTED]
  - 3. LTV Pricing
- ii. Decision date
- iii. NEW/USED Vehicle Indicator
- iv. The presence of a co-borrower on the loan
- v. Amount financed.

V. [REDACTED]

a. Segmentation

Analysis of differences based on protected class status using:

- i. A single all inclusive sample consisting of data on non-subvented loans provided by the lender, excluding loans with zero contract rate (APR) amounts and [REDACTED] having zero markup amounts.
- ii. And, (i.) with the addition of subvented loans on [REDACTED] [REDACTED].
- iii. [REDACTED] subvented loans separately.

b. Alternative Potential Explanatory Variables Considered (controls)

None

VI. [REDACTED]

a. Segmentation

Analysis of differences based on protected class status using:

- i. An all-inclusive sample consisting of data of non-subvented loans provided by the lender
- ii. An all-inclusive sample consisting of data of subvented loans provided by the lender

b. Alternative Potential Explanatory Variables Considered (controls)

None

VII. [REDACTED]

a. Segmentation

Analysis of differences based on protected class status using a single all inclusive sample consisting of data on non-subvented loans provided by the lender.

b. Alternative Potential Explanatory Variables Considered (controls)

In an analysis of structural conditions that may place limits on markup, the control variables consisted of:

- i. Loan Term
- ii. Dealer Buydown indicator
- iii. New of Used Vehicle Indicator
- iv. Dealer Type (Wholesale/Non Wholesale) Indicator

v. Line of Business [REDACTED]

vi. [REDACTED]

VIII. [REDACTED] (No analysis performed)

a. Segmentation

None.

b. Alternative Potential Explanatory Variables Considered (controls)

None.